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Additionally, the project draws on visual anthropology to study visual representations. This means that I observe in exhibitions and in the conversations in which roles exiles are perceived. Are exiles framed as victims? Are current exiles displayed but with the images of historic exiles in head? Does the display rely on a specific knowledge of the visitors?

**Preliminary findings**

All interview partners agreed so far that the topic ‘exile’ has gained new attention within the last years. In the case of the Philipp Schwartz-initiative, this actually lead to its foundation as an expansion of the pre-existing Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung. Practically, the different institutions have all chosen to tell the history of exile via biographical examples. This approach is chosen in order to render exile comprehensible. They are debating, however, how much biographical material should be used compared to so-called ‘superordinate’ information which connects different biographies and, thus, highlights common themes. They attempt to find a balance between famous exiles whose stories are already known and lesser known exiles. As institutions at least partially depending on public funding, the interview partners have pointed out the necessity to engage with exile alongside their public commission’s definition. This poses some limitations when they would want to deal with other exiles outside their denomination.

**Conversations December - April**

**Institutions**

Exilmuseum (in Gründung), Berlin
Jüdisches Museum, Berlin
Leo Baeck Institute, Berlin
Philipp Schwartz-Initiative/Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung, Bonn
Deutsches Exilarchiv 1933-1945, Frankfurt am Main
Archiv Akademie der Künste, Berlin
Deutsche Hochschulrektorenkonferenz, Bonn
Stiftung Flucht, Vertreibung, Versöhnung, Berlin
Körber-Stiftung „Tage des Exils“, Hamburg
Volkswagenstiftung „Akademie im Exil“, Hannover
Gesellschaft für Exilforschung e.V., Würzburg
Deutsches Auswandererhaus, Bremerhaven

**Further questions?**

*Which role should an intersectional framework have in the research project?*

*How to define „academic exile”?*

*In which spatio-temporal categories can we talk about „exile”?*

*How to deal with the multiple geographical sites of exile?*

*Which role does imagination (as i.e. in Appadurai 2013) have in writing about „exile”?*
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**Footnotes**